UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/667,677	09/22/2003	Steven Linn Murphy	W115 1010.1	9397		
26158 WOMBLE CA	7590 05/29/2007 RLYLE SANDRIDGE		EXAM	INER		
ATTN: PATENT DOCKETING 32ND FLOOR			SALIARD, S	SALIARD, SHANNON S		
P.O. BOX 703° ATLANTA, G			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,		3628				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			05/29/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summany			
		10/667,677	MURPHY, STEVEN LINN
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Shannon S. Saliard	3628
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in me may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 M	<u>arch 2007</u> .	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	•	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	
	Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No.
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.
Attachmer	nt(s)		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F	

Application/Control Number:	Page 2
10/667,677	
Art Unit: 3628	

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Applicant has amended claims 1, 7, 9, 10, 14, 20, 24, and 26. No claims have been cancelled or newly added. Thus, claims 1-27 remain pending and are presented for examination.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 14, and 26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 7-11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reichert et al [US 2002/0042819] in view of Sadri et al [US 2005/0027705].

As per **claim 1**, Reichert et al discloses a method of facilitating selection of a lodging property, the method comprising the steps of: (a) receiving an indication of a

geographic area of interest from a user [0032]; (b) identifying one or more lodging properties located within the geographic area of interest conforming to user-specified criteria [0032; 0037]; and (c) displaying a map of the geographic area of interest whereupon are plotted the locations of the one or more lodging properties [0032]. Reichert et al does not disclose (d) displaying in a dialog box associated with a same webpage as the displayed map substantially dynamic information regarding the particular lodging property indicated by the user, wherein the substantially dynamic information is provided in substantially real-time so as to be substantially current. However, Sadri et al discloses displaying search results for lodging properties on a map wherein the user can interact with the map to display substantially real-time (i.e., dynamic) information regarding the lodging property [0054; room rate; special offers available at this time]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Reichert et al to include the method disclosed by Sadri et al so that a user does not have to connect to various sites to determine to obtain information regarding a particular lodging property.

As per **claim 2**, Reichert et al further discloses wherein the indication of the geographic area of interest is in the form of a city name [0032].

As per **claim 3**, Reichert et al further discloses wherein the indication of the geographic area of interest is in the form of a substantially unique name of a feature having a known location [0032].

As per **claim 4**, Reichert et al further discloses wherein step (a) includes receiving one or more other user-specified criteria from the user [0032].

As per **claim 5**, Reichert et al further discloses wherein in step (c) only the locations of one or more conforming lodging properties located within the geographic area of interest and conforming to the one or more other user-specified criteria are plotted on the map [0037].

As per **claim 7**, Reichert et al further discloses further including the step of providing a navigational control allowing the user to manipulate the map for viewing [0034].

As per **claim 8**, Reichert et al further discloses wherein the navigational control includes a movement capability [0034]. Reichert et al does not further disclose wherein the navigational control includes a zoom capability. However, DeLorme et al discloses a map with zoom capability [col 48, lines 11-14]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Reichert et al to include the method disclosed by DeLorme et al so that a user can get an accurate detailed view for ease of locating the property.

As per claim 9, Reichert et al does not further disclose including the step of displaying in the pull-down dialog box substantially static information regarding a particular lodging property indicated by the user. However, DeLorme et al discloses a map display that facilitates interactions with substantially static information regarding a particular lodging property [col 25,lines 29-35]. Furthermore, the Examiner takes

Official Notice that it is old and well known at the time of the invention, in the electronic transaction industry, to use a pull-down dialog box to display information. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to

Application/Control Number:	Page 5
10/667,677	_
Art Unit: 3628	

modify the invention of Reichert et al to include displaying in the pull-down dialog box substantially static information regarding a particular lodging property indicated by the user so that a user does not have to connect to various sites to determine to obtain information regarding a particular lodging property.

As per **claim 10**, Reichert et al further discloses further including the step of providing one or more hyperlinks to additional static information (amenities) and additional dynamic information (vacancy) [0040].

As per **claim 11**,Reichert et al does further discloses further including the step of plotting one or more non-lodging features on the map [0032; 0037].

As per **claim 13**, Reichert et al further discloses a computer program operable to implement the method as set forth in claim 1 [0023-0027].

5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reichert et al [US 2002/0042819] in view of Sadri et al [US 2005/0027705] as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of DeLorme et al [US 2003/0182052].

As per claim 6, Reichert et al does not disclose wherein in step (c) the map is initially displayed centered about an average location of the plotted lodging properties. However, DeLorme et al discloses centering a map about a radius of each point of interest [0197]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Reichert et al to include the method disclosed by DeLorme et al so that the user is provided with a full view of all points of interest to determine which point of interest best suits their needs.

Application/Control Number:	Page 6
10/667,677	
Art Unit: 3628	

6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reichert et al [US 2002/0042819] in view of Sadri et al [US 2005/0027705] as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Jones et al [U.S. Patent No. 7,082,400].

As per claim 12, Reichert et al does not disclose further including the step of providing substantially real-time access to a computerized reservation system of the particular lodging property indicated by the user so as to facilitate the user making a reservation. However, Jones et al discloses a map that provides access to a CRS to reserve a hotel [col 6, lines 30-33]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Reichert et al to include the method disclosed by Jones et al so that the does not have to connect to various sites to determine to obtain information regarding a particular lodging property.

7. Claims 14-16, 18, 22, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reichert et al [US 2002/0042819] in view Sadri et al [US 2005/0027705] and Jones et al [U.S. Patent No. 7,082,400].

As per **claim 14**, Reichert et al discloses a method of facilitating selection of a lodging property, the method comprising the steps of: (a) receiving an indication of a geographic area of interest and one or more other user-specified criteria from a user [0032]; (b) identifying one or more lodging properties located within the geographic area of interest and conforming to the one or more other user-specified criteria [0032; 0037];

Application/Control Number:	 Page 7
10/667,677	•
Art Unit: 3628	

(c) displaying a map of the geographic area of interest whereupon are plotted the locations of the one or more lodging properties [0032]. Reichert et al does not disclose (d) displaying in a dialog box associated with a same webpage as the displayed map substantially dynamic information regarding the particular lodging property indicated by the user, wherein the substantially dynamic information is provided in substantially realtime so as to be substantially current. However, Sadri et al discloses displaying search results for lodging properties on a map wherein the user can interact with the map to display substantially real-time (i.e., dynamic) information regarding the lodging property [0054; room rate; special offers available at this time]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Reichert et al to include the method disclosed by Sadri et al so that a user does not have to connect to various sites to determine to obtain information regarding a particular lodging property. Reichert et al does not disclose (e) providing substantially real-time access to a computerized reservation system of the particular lodging property indicated by the user so as to facilitate the user making a reservation. However, Jones et al discloses a map that provides access to a CRS to reserve a hotel [col 6, lines 30-33]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Reichert et al to include the method disclosed by Jones et al so that the does not have to connect to various sites to determine to obtain information regarding a particular lodging property.

As per **claim 15**, Reichert et al further discloses wherein the indication of the geographic area of interest is in the form of a city name [0032].

Application/Control Number:	Page 8
10/667,677	
Art Unit: 3628	

As per **claim 16**, Reichert et al further discloses wherein the indication of the geographic area of interest is in the form of a substantially unique name of a feature having a known location [0032].

As per **claim 18**, Reichert et al further discloses further including the step of providing a navigational control allowing the user to manipulate the map for viewing [0034].

As per **claim 22**, Reichert et al does further discloses further including the step of plotting one or more non-lodging features on the map [0032; 0037].

As per claim 23, Reichert et al further discloses a computer program operable to implement the method as set forth in claim 14 [0023-0027].

8. Claims 17 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reichert et al [US 2002/0042819] in view of Sadri et al [US 2005/0027705] and Jones et al [U.S. Patent No. 7,082,400] as applied to claim 14 above, and further in view of DeLorme et al [US 2003/0182052].

As per claim 17, Reichert does not disclose wherein in step (c) the map is initially displayed centered about an average location of the plotted lodging properties. However, DeLorme et al discloses centering a map about a radius of each point of interest [0197]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Reichert et al to include the method disclosed by DeLorme et al so that the user is provided with a full view of all points of interest to determine which point of interest best suits their needs.

Application/Control Number:	Page 9
10/667,677	
Art Unit: 3628	

As per claim 19, Reichert et al further discloses wherein the navigational control includes a movement capability [0034]. Reichert et al does not further disclose wherein the navigational control includes a zoom capability. However, DeLorme et al discloses a map with zoom capability [col 48, lines 11-14]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Reichert et al to include the method disclosed by DeLorme et al so that a user can get an accurate detailed view for ease of locating the property.

As per claim 20, Reichert et al does not further disclose including the step of displaying in the pull-down dialog box substantially static information regarding a particular lodging property indicated by the user. However, DeLorme et al discloses a map display that facilitates interactions with substantially static information regarding a particular lodging property [col 25,lines 29-35]. Furthermore, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well known at the time of the invention, in the electronic transaction industry, to use a pull-down dialog box to display information. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Reichert et al to include displaying in the pull-down dialog box substantially static information regarding a particular lodging property indicated by the user so that a user does not have to connect to various sites to determine to obtain information regarding a particular lodging property.

As per **claim 21**, Reichert et al further discloses further including the step of providing one or more hyperlinks to additional static information (amenities) and additional dynamic information (vacancy) [0040].

Application/Control Number:	Page 10
10/667,677	
Art Unit: 3628	·

9. Claims 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reichert et al [US 2002/0042819] in view of Sadri et al [US 2005/0027705], DeLorme [U.S. Patent No. 5,948,040], Jones et al [U.S. Patent No. 7,082,400], and DeLorme et al [US 2003/0182052].

As per claims 24 and 26, Reichert et al discloses a method of facilitating selection of a lodging property, the method comprising the steps of: (a) receiving an indication of a geographic area of interest and one or more other user-specified criteria from a user [0032]; (b) identifying one or more lodging properties located within the geographic area of interest and conforming to the one or more other user-specified criteria [0032; 0037]; (c) displaying a map of the geographic area of interest whereupon are plotted the locations of the one or more conforming lodging properties [0032], (d) plotting one or more non-lodging features on the map [0032; 0037]; (e) providing a navigational control allowing the user to manipulate the map for viewing, wherein the navigational control includes a movement capability [0034]; (h) providing one or more hyperlinks to additional static information (amenities) and additional dynamic information (vacancy) [0040]. Reichert et al does not disclose providing a navigational control allowing zoom capability; (f) displaying in a pull-down dialog box substantially static information regarding a particular lodging property indicated by the user; (g) displaying in the pull-down dialog box substantially dynamic information regarding the particular lodging property indicated by the user, wherein the substantially dynamic information is

Application/Control Number:	Page 11
10/667,677	_
Art Unit: 3628	

provided in substantially real-time so as to be substantially current. However, Sadri et al discloses displaying search results for lodging properties on a map wherein the user can interact with the map to display substantially real-time (i.e., dynamic) information regarding the lodging property [0054; room rate; special offers available at this time]. Furthermore, DeLorme et al discloses a map display with zoom capability [col 48, lines 11-14] wherein the map display facilitates interactions with substantially static information regarding a particular lodging property [col 25, lines 29-35]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Reichert et al to include the method disclosed by Sadri et al and DeLorme et al so that a user does not have to connect to various sites to determine to obtain information regarding a particular lodging property. Reichert et al does not disclose (e) providing substantially real-time access to a computerized reservation system of the particular lodging property indicated by the user so as to facilitate the user making a reservation. However, Jones et al discloses a map that provides access to a CRS to reserve a hotel [col 6, lines 30-33]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Reichert et al to include the method disclosed by Jones et al so that the does not have to connect to various sites to determine to obtain information regarding a particular lodging property. Jones et al does not disclose wherein the map is initially displayed centered about an average location of the plotted lodging properties. However, DeLorme et al discloses centering a map about a radius of each point of interest [0197]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

Application/Control Number:	Page 12
10/667,677	_
Art Unit: 3628	

invention to modify the invention of Reichert et al to include the method disclosed by DeLorme et al so that the user is provided with a full view of all points of interest to determine which point of interest best suits their needs.

As per claims 25 and 27, Reichert et al further discloses a computer program operable to implement the method as set forth in claim 24 [0023-0027].

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number:	Page 1	3
10/667,677	G	
Art Unit: 3628		

Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims below for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested that the applicant, in preparing the responses, fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shannon S. Saliard whose telephone number is 571-272-5587. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Hayes can be reached on 571-272-6708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:	Page 14
10/667,677	•
Art Unit: 3628	

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Please address mail to be delivered by the United States Postal Service (USPS) as follows:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(571) 273-5587 [Informal/ Draft Communications, labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to the Customer Service Window, Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314

JIOHN W. HAYES

Shannon S Saliard Examiner Art Unit 3628